

BRITISH GO JOURNAL

Technical Editor: John Diamond Vol.1 No.2 AUTUMN 1967
Supervising Editor: John Barrs Price: 1/6 (incl. postage)
CCRRESPONDENCE TO: John Diamond, Trinity College, Cambridge

NOTICE TO ALL CLUB SECRETARIES AND UNATTACHED MEMBERS

It has been decided that all annual subscriptions will in future become due on 1st JAMUARY of each year.

As a concession to new members, those joining after 30th SEPTEMBER will be credited with membership until the end of the following year.

THE XIth EUROPEAN GO CONGRESS .

The 1967 European Go Congress was held in Staufen, near Freiburg, in West Germany from the 8th to the 20th of August.

After the Opening Ceremony on the 8th, as Staufen is one of the centres of wine production, a party was given by the Eurgomaster in one of the local wine cellars. This met with the approval of all the players and ended too early for most. However this was lucky as most of the tournaments commenced the next day.

Those arranged were:

- (1) EUROPEAN INDIVIDUAL CHAMPIONSHIP for players of class 15 and better, without handicap, with 3 hrs. each and 1 min. thereafter (byoyomi).
- (2) MASTER TOURNAMENT for players of classes 16-19, with handicap, 25 hrs. each and 1 min. byoyomi.
- (3) EUROPEAN TEAM CHAMPIONSHIP; decided by the percentage results of the players of countries with three or more players in (1) and (2) above.
- (4) GRADED EVEN GAME TOURNAMENTS; all remaining players were grouped into five sections so that as few classes were in each tournament as possible.
- (5) HANDICAP TOURNAMENT; for all players, to qualify for a prize at least nine games must be played and the results decided on the percentages.
- (6) LIGHTNING TOURNAMENT; for all players with handicap, 20 mins. each.
 As 24 players entered, it was arranged as a six round Swiss tournament.

3 players entered for the EUROPEAN CHAMPIOSHIP, two each from Austria, Holland, Yugoslavia and one from Britain and W. Germany. As the 1966 Champion, Jurgen Mattern (W. Berlin), did not attend it seemed a fairly open event with Manfred Wimmer (Villach), Zoran Mutabzija (Rijeka) and Max Rebattu (Amsterdam) having the best chances. The final table was:

		Class	Country									Wins
1	Mutabzija	14	Y	x	4	7	\mathbf{r}	3	2	15	r	7
2	Wimmer	13	A	-4	x	-r	17	r	7	r	r	5
3	Rebattu	13	H	-7	r	x	-7	20	r	-6	10	<u>4</u>
4	Ekart	14	Y	-r	-17	7	×	-r	r	r	r	4
5	Skrob	14	A	-3	-r	-20	r	x	-7	r	11	3
6	De Vries	14	K	-2	-7	-r	-r	7	x	6	r	3
7	Diamond	15	В	-15	-r	6	-r	-r	-6	x	r	2
3	Marseille	15	G	-r	-r	-10	-r	-11	-r	-r	x	0

NOTE. -r denotes a loss by a resignation.

¹⁰ players competed in the MASTER TOURNAMENT, and in fact the event was decided in the last round when the first two players played each other.

The Cambridge player Tony Goddard (Class 19) was placed first with

8 wins, second was Beck of Austria (19) with 7 wins, and third Strecker of W. Germany (17) with 5 wins.

As Yugoslavia only had two players in the above tournaments they did not qualify for the EUROPEAN TEAM CHARPICNSHIP. Thus the results were:

1	Austria	13	wins	11	losses	62%
2	Holland	10		7		59
3	Gt. Britain	11		15		42
4	W. Cermany	10		16		3 3

The five sections in the EVEN GAME GRADED TOURNAMENTS were, with British results after:

- 1. Classes 20-21
- Bob Hitchens (Class 25 from London) third out of six.
- 2. Classes 22-26 3. Class 23 David Berg (23 London) third equal out of five.
- John Tilley (29 Cambridge) second out of four. 4. Classes 29-30
- 5. Classes 33-42 All three players were from London.

Clive Schofield (38 London) gained second place in the HAMDICAP TOURNAMENT with 7 wins out of 9 games.

The LIGHTNING TOURNAMENT was held on the 20th, with some players, mainly the stronger ones, complaining that the time allowed was too long and should be cut to 10 minutes or less. But this would have favoured the stronger players even more than actually happened, with Zoran Mutabzija first and Henk De Vries second, both with 5 wins.

On the results of these tournaments promotions and demotions were made. Only those of British players and of general interest are here.

Mutabzija	13	Berg	29	
Diamond	14	Schoiield	35	
Goddard	17	Miss Hook	40	(London)

John Barrs (19 London) and John Fairbairn (19 Newcastle) were not promoted.

The NIHCN KI-IN (Japan Go Association) again sent a professional to Europe this year, in the person of Mr. Nagahara (3-dan), and he also attended the Congress. He played a number of simultaneous games, from 6-10 players, giving the top players in the European Champioship 4 stones.

He also gave a number of lessons, and gave comments or a large number of games from the Jurcpean Championship. We are indebted to him for the comments on some of the games to be published.

The Closing Ceremony was seld on the 20th, when the various prizes were presented, and afterwards most people went to a festival in a nearby village where there was danking and drinking for the rest of the evening. All enjoyed themselves immensely and said their goodbyes till next year.

The annual meeting of the E.G.F. (European Go Federation) was held during the Congress and the following decisions arrived at:

Mr. Karl-Ernst Paech of West Germany was appointed President in succession to Mr. Albert Schilp of Holland.

The 1963 European Go Congress will be held in West Berlin in early August.

The qualification for the 1963 European Individual Championship will be class 14.

The Master Tournament will be without handicap in 1963, and will probably be divided into two sections - Classes 15, 16 and 17, and Classes 13, 19 and 20.

It is probable that the 1969 Congress will be awarded to Austria or Yugoslavia.

BRITISH GO CONGRESS March 1963

It is intended to hold the first National Congress at Oxford over the weekend of 30th March, during which will be held the A.G.M. of the B.G.A. Suitable accommodation is being sought but it will be limited. The cost will be about £5 for the two nights, Friday and Saturday, all meals and tournament fees. The competition will be on handicap basis for prizes. Those interested should write now to A. Daly, Trinity College, Oxford for further details when they are available.

BRISTOL

As usual the Bristol Go Club is very busy. They continued their friendly meetings with the Monmouthshire Go Club on 21st July, and their club tournaments. Their latest being the R.A.F. Association Go Challenge Trophy won by P. Langley from J. AcCracken (receiving 3 stones). The strongest player in the Bristol Club is Roger Hays, now about class 35.

LONDON

The annual Nippon (Japanese) Club Tournament was held on 1st October, 5 Japanese and 8 English players from 5 dan to 5 kyu participating. Included was a Japanese meal as well as prizes. First was Y. Akimoto (4 kyu), second J. Diamond (3 dan) and third S. Shimizu (3 kyu).

JAPAN

The 6th Meijin (Grandmaster) Title Match finished on 22nd September with Rin (Age 25) beating Sakata (47) 4-1 in the best of 7 game finals. Earlier this year Sakata beat Rin 4-1 for the Honibo Title. Rin has now won the Meijin Title for the last three years, beating Sakata each time. To challenge Rin for this title Sakata won the Challenger's Tournament with Continued on P.24

A HANDICAP SYSTEM FOR YOUR CLUB

The handicap system used by the London Go Club is useful in pro-

viding encouragement especially to newer players.

Using this method the results of all games played in the Club are recorded in a book, together with the handicap given and received. After each game the winner is then promoted one class (½ stone) and the loser demoted one class. Thus each win means that it is harder to win next time and each loss means a better chance of winning the next game.

The stronger players in the Club (class 30 and better) keep the

The stronger players in the Club (class 30 and better) keep the same handicap (until this is amended by the Committee). This enables them to provide a stable basis for the whole system.

GO CLUBS affiliated to the B.G.A.

BEECHAM RESEARCH LABORATORIES GO CLUB

Secretary: B.A. Love, 36 Wimborne Ave., REDMILL, Surrey
BRISTOL GO CLUB

A.H. Smith, 55 Heath Rd., Downend, BRISTOL
BRUNEL GO CLUB

R.D. Parslow, Brunel University, Acton, LONDON W.3
CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY GO SOCIETY

A. Key, Kings College, CAMBRIDGE
DAIGAKU GO CLUB

Bradford University, BRADFORD, Yorks.
GLASGOW UNIVERSITY GO SOCIETY

D.A. Urquhart, 159 Newark St., GREENOCK
HARWELL GO CLUB

P. Hutchinson, T.P. Division, Bldg. 8.9, Atomic

Energy Research Establishment, Harwell, DIDCOT, Berks.

LIVERPOOL UNIVERSITY GO CLUB

B.P. Hatton, New Students' Union, 2 3edford St North, LIVERPOOL 7
LONDON GC CLUB Pontefract Castle, Wigmore St., LONDON W.1
(Meets: Mondays and Fridays 7 - 11 pm)

MIDDLESBROUGH GO CLUB

J.D. Thewlis, 22 Hall Drive, Acklam, MIDDLESBROUGH, Yorks.

MONMOUTHSHIRE GO CLUB

B.K. McMillan, R.T.B., O.R. Dept., Spencer Works, NEWPORT, Mon. NEWCASTLE GO CLUB M. Black, 14 Hillside Ave., S.W.Denton, NEWCASTLE OXFORD UNIVERSITY GO SOCIETY A. Daly, Trinity College, CXFORD JOHN RUSKIN GRAMMAR SCHOOL GO CLUB Upper Shirley Rd., CROYDON, Surrey WINFRITH GC CLUB H. Pitcher, 13 Insley Crescent, BROADSTONE, Dorset

EVEN GAME FUSEKI STUDIES (2)

by HONINBO SHUSAI

STUDY 2

1 r16 2 o16

3 gl4

This is the usual reply to a W attack at ol6, a play not seen much now, being a defence a W offensive at r17.

B may, however, venture a squeeze play at ml?. The advisability of such a play would be greatly increased if W cl6 and B el? had already been played in the nw corner, with a high probability of a W squeeze attack at jl? against B el?.

If B omits ql4, W is likely to play rl7 and occupy the corner. At this early stage of the opening there is little sense in B's playing elsewhere for the purpose of occupying another corner.

4 dl6

W may well play cl6 instead. Such a play may serve a good purpose; for if B should attempt an offensive by playing el7, W could profitably counter with a squeeze attack at jl7.

5 e3

This stone could have been played on any of the ordinary initial corner positions except d3. To play in the se corner, however, would be questionable, as it would tend to make the B formation unbalanced as B would have concentrated too many stones on this side.

6 d5

W might well have played in the se corner instead. The play was here at d5 for the purpose of utilizing d16 to form a large territory on the w side.

7 c4

8 c5

9 d4

This could have been played at b4 (see No.1 P.4).

10 e5

11 f4

Made to guard against a possible play at f3, at the same time suggesting that the next play should be around k3. It has a third advantage, also, as a preparation for a daring counter-attack from d9.

12 r5

This could equally well have been played at q4, q5 or p4; but if played at p3, B would naturally take the oppressive position q5 and build up a secure territory along the e side.

13 p4

This is largely determined by the B formation in the sw corner. By this "high" (on the fourth line) attack, followed by his blocking play 15 p3, B gains a valuable initiative.

14 q3

15 p3

16 q2

17 d9

10

This B initiative shatters W's ambitions along the w side. A B play at 17 13 (following the joseki) would have been wrong for then 18 b9 or 13 c9 would be too good. B may well have played this stone lower, at c9. Should W then extend his three stone wall B would secure a double advantage with 19 cl3 or 19 cl4, dominating the whole of the w side and likewise attacking the W stone at dl6.

Let us here speculate on W's possible response to 17 d9. Should W extend his wall by 18 g6, B would immediately seize the all-important point 13 cl4, and occupy the w side. W would thus play right into B's hand. Suppose W should make a squeeze attack with 18 cll. B would launch

Suppose W should make a squeeze attack with 18 cll. B would launch an encircling movement with 19 g6. W would then accept the challenge and try to break the singe with 2C e8. A running encounter would then ensue with 2l e9, 22 f3, 23 f9, 24 g3, 25 g9, 26 h8. Then B would shift the line of attack, and by playing 27 d8 attempt to cut the W formation in two, which W would then guard against by 28 f6.

Examine carefully the resulting formation at this point. Note what would be the position of cll. The B formation would be strongly entrenched with d8-d9-e9-f9-g9. W's cll would be dangerously close to the B entrenchment and also too far from its ally at d16. Thus it will be easily seen

that cll would have been played unwisely.

18 cl3

Wary of the danger just described, W has taken a defensive position at cl3 and is planning a gradual attack on B from the strengthened nw formation. Moderation thus marks this play by W. It also promises to completely secure the corner with another play at dl7 or el7.

19.f3

This might well have been played at the corresponding point g6. It is also a good example of an attacking keima play (see No.1 P.8).

20 g6

This play is now absolutely necessary.

21 j4

This is defensive in the sense that it lends support to the 3 stones in the se corner, and defends the B potential along the s side. It is offensive in the sense that it threatens encirclement of W's four stones again.

22 h7

A clever play! It threatens, by way of g9, the now isolated B formation d9-f8 and prepares the way for the valuable opportunity of playing k17 before B can do so. Should W play 22 j6, B would now seize the key position of k17 at once because the B formation is not now threatened.

23 h9

This double keima forms a virtual extension (see No.1 P.7) and should be remembered.

24 kl7

25 r7

This is comparable to W's play 24 kl7 in its importance as affecting the game as a whole, and its ultimate outcome. With this play the fuseki is at an end. Let us, however, speculate on the next few possibilities.

W's next stone may be hurled into the B formation at rl2. Again it might be pressed against r7 with 26 r6, followed by 27 q7, 28 p5. There is still another possibility, 26 q7. 27 q8 would be followed by 28 q6, and should B then extend with 29 p8 W could cut with 30 r8, and capture r7 by 31 r9, 32 s3, 33 s9, 34 s7. However B would gain the advantage by 35 ol4, securing the initiative.

HANDICAP JOSEYI (2)

I (b) BLACK EXTENDS ON THE WEST SIDE (1 f3, 2 c6)

1. 3 d2

This is the most popular of the alternatives at this point. It is played to reduce the corner and stabilise the W stone. 3 j3 would not be good, as then 4 e3, 5 f4 would overconcentrate the W forces too much.

<u>A</u>. 4 c3

Easier and taking the corner, but it leaves W with a stable group. 5 j3. Securing some territory along the s side, but not pressuring B much. Later if 7 c8, B is advised to protect the corner with 8 c2. For if he does not 9 c2, 10 b2, 11 b4, 12 b5 (12 b3, 13 c5, 14 d5, 15 b6 and connects), 13 b3, 14 a2, 15 c4, 16 d3, 17 d5 (17 c5, 18 d5, 19 b6, 20 a4, 21 a5x, 22 a3 and the W stones die), 18 e4 AND 19 e5, 20 f4, 21 e3 if the ladder at g4 works, gaining all the corner OR 19 c5, 20 f4, 21 d6, 22 e2 an even exchange, if the ladder above doesn't work for W.

5 c3. Very infrequently played, and only when it is also an extension from a W position in the nw. This is because B can force W with 6 h3, 7 f5, 3 d3, 9 d9, 10 d7 leaving B with the option of 12 c9 or 12 j5.

B. 4 h3

An altogether more complicated play, and for this reason not to be recommended in handicap rames. It destroys any potential π territory along the s side and thus it is usually only combined with an extension from the se. It also threatens to gain influence towards the centre at the cost of

leaving the corner open for W to take.

5 g3, 6 h4 and 5 f5, 6 c3 are quite good for 2; so usually played is 5 c3, invariably followed by 6 e3.

7 e2. Taking the corner, but giving B an equivalent amount of influ-

ence after 8 f4, 9 f2, 10 g4 OR 8 b5, 9 f2.

7 e4. More complicated. The simplest and best course for B is 8 f4, 9 e5, 10 d3 $\underline{\text{AND}}$ 11 e2, 12 c4, 13 g4, 14 f2, 15 f5x, 16 g2, 17 b4, 18 d6, 19 b2, 20 h4, 21 h5, 22 j5, 23 h6, 24 d9; taking a small corner and leaving some fighting in the centre for later $\underline{\text{OR}}$ more commonly, 11 d5, 12 c4, 13 c5, 14 b4, 15 b5; taking the w side and sente.

2. 3 d3

Rarely seen after 2 c6, as the "cross-cut" of 5 c4 does not appear. It is generally used to secure a live group here quickly. 4 c3, 5 c2 are invariably played.

A. 6 b2

If 7 b3 then 3 c4, 9 bl, 10 d2; or if 7 c4 then 8 b3, 9 d5, 10 e4, 11 c5, 12 e3, 13 d6 and B has more than W. Thus the best for W is 7 d2, 8 c4, 9 e4.

- <u>B</u>. 6 **c**4

W should now simply answer 7 e2 to achieve his object of a stable group. 6 b3 or 6e3 would be bad; for the former allows 7 b2 with advantage, and the latter overconcentrates the B forces after 7 d2, 8 e4, 9 e2, 10 c3.

3. 3 c8

Again played only occasionally and stopping a further B expansion in this direction as it is possible for B to answer 3 d2 with 4 c9, 5 c3, 6 c4 and give up the corner. The recommended B reply to this is 4 d2, waiting to attack c8 or f3 later. This is the ideal defensive formation after a play at c6.

4. 3 c3

This should not normally be played as it gives E too much compensation for the loss of the corner by: 4 d3, 5 d2, 6 e2, 7 c2, 8 e3, 9 b5, 10 c5, 11 b6, 12 c7. (This is the standard technique for taking the influence along the s side after an invasion at c3 against most 3 extensions along the w side.)

	26	26a	27	23	29	HAND!	30a		EKI 32	INDE 32a		<u>)</u> 34	3 5	36	37
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 3 9 10 11 12 Sec:	f3 c6 d2 c3 <u>j3</u>	- c3 c2	- c3 h3 f5 d3 d9	- h3 c3 e2 f4 f2 <u>£4</u>	- - - 55 <u>f2</u>	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	d5 c4 tex	- 83 h4 c c 45 c c 5 c c 5	- f5 <u>c3</u>	j4 j3 k4 k3 14 m3	- 69 63 <u>c4</u>	d3 c3 c2 b2 d2 c4	- - c4 e2	c8 <u>d2</u>	c3? d3 d2 e2 e3 b5 c5 b6 c7 text

CORRECTIONS TO No.1

¹⁷ lines from bottom 20 c4 should be 20 b2. P.10

Top line, 45 rlO should be 45 slO. P.14 Comment on 128 should read "then k15 j15 116 115 k14 k17 k18 " ctc.

even game joseki (1)

INTRODUCTION

As with handicap joseki, an acquaintance with even game joseki is necessary to progress in Go. However, in contrast to handicap joseki, the decision as to which joseki to choose is more involved. This is partly because there are fewer handicap joseki and partly because the fuseki of non-handicap games is more involved. Thus the study of these joseki is necessarily coupled with an active study of fuseki.

It must also be born in mind that this series will necessarily be selective and hence you must be prepared to meet a move you haven't seen before and take full advantage of it. Thus rote learning of these joseki is not enough, the general principles and shapes are more important.

Black will always make the first play in the sw corner.

KOMOKU (1 c4)

This is essentially a defensive play, placing its emphasis on the acquisition of territory in the corner as another play, at e3, e4 or f3 will secure the corner from attack until it is closely approached by opposing stones. However, as with most of the initial corner plays, an immediate attack is not essential, and may in some cases be left completely, but this depends on the overall strategy of the game.

If an attack on the corner is to be made the normal choice is one of 2 e3, 2 e4, 2 f3 and 2 f4. (As you might have noticed these are the opponent's best points, and hence very often your own.) Again a reply is not always immediately necessary and may be delayed a long time, or altogether and then transpose into another joseki. This happens very often and this warning will be omitted in the rest of this series.

<u>A</u> 2 e3

The most popular, and severest, attack on the corner. It ensures that Black cannot take the corner completely, but must give White at least an equivalent amount of compensation. It also promises an extension along the s side, to the region of k3, and a pincer attack on c4 with 4 c6, 4d6, 4 c7, 4 d7, 4 c8 or 4 d8.

Black's best replies are the pincers 3 g3, 3 g4 etc., ensuring that White makes no expansion in this direction and attempting to build up a territory in the corner; 3 d5 and 3 d6, promising future expansion on the w side and threatening a strong attack on White with 5 f4 or 5 h3.

⊇ 2 e4

This is seen more often now than previously as it is an example of the "balanced" strategy, combining the third and fourth lines, of most modern players. It aims more for central influence and a reduction of the possibilities of Black building up a large territory on the w side than e3. However, it leaves Black with the corner if he wants it as he can play 3 e3, though this leaves White with the choice of taking influence on the w side or territory on the s side.

Also good are 3 e5, taking the influence to the w side and possibly giving up the corner, and 3 g3 or 3 h4, again preventing White from taking up a position along the s side, and coing more agressive.

C 2 f3

Aiming to take up a position on the s side, as the pincer moves would not be so severe as this stone is further away from the corner stone. However, it leaves Black with sente, and the corner if he plays 3 d3. Also possible is the pincer at 3 j4.

D 2 f4

This is very rarely seen now as it gives Black the corner with 3 e3, or he can just expand along the w side with 3 d6. But it still is occasionally used, as may be seen on P.13 in this issue.

TAKAMOKU (1 d5)

This is a fairly popular play designed to give up the corner but gain influence towards the centre, and to one of the sides whilst also ensuring that white doesn't get too large a corner. It threatens further plays at 3 d3, taking the corner in a standard formation; 3 cl0 or 3 k3, taking territorial prospects along either side.

White will usually reply in the corner with 2 d3, taking the corner and threatening the same plays associated with B above. 2 c3 is sometimes better than 2 d3, usually only when there is a stone at c9, c10 or c11 already. For an example of this see No.1 P.4.

After 2 d3, Black can play 3 c3, promising an extension to the region of c9 next; 3 e3, playable only if there are no white stones in the ne corner and promising either the outside influence or a larger corner; or 3 f4, more complicated and taking greater influence and potential along the w side but giving up more territory.

MOKUHAZUSHI (1 c5)

As this is in between komoku and takamoku, it does not aim so greatly as takamoku for central influence or so greatly as komoku for corner territory, and is usually played to gain a territorial potential along the w side or let White occupy the corner and complicate matters. This is because there are a great number of complicated joseki, and hence a large number of possible errors, associated with this initial play. The usual responses are 2 d3, 2 e4 or more rarely 2 c3.

4. 2 d3

This destroys the corner and aims for territory along the s side, but more often than not this is frustrated by 3 f4, the "taisha" or joseki of a thousand variations, for some variations see No.1 P.3. This leads to vast complications and joseki that may involve 40 or 50 plays and cover a quarter of the board. The other pincers can be played, but next most popular is 3 e4, giving White some secure territory and building a potential along the w side. A direct extension to 3 c9 or 3 c10 is also used gaining territory directly.

B. 2 e4

Aiming to gain territory simply along the s side, but giving up a fair sized corner after 3 d3, the only play commonly used against this. See No.1 P.4 and P.18 this issue.

C. 2 c3

Rarely used, to gain the corner and some territory along the s side, but it reduces the number of possible alternatives. However it gives up a large potential to Black along the w side after 3 e4.

SAN-SAN (1 c3)

This is a purely modern play, taking the corner directly and leaving no chance for White to snatch it away. However it cannot be developed easily towards the centre and this is sometimes a handicap. The usual replies, and in this case they <u>usually</u> are postponed till later as Black has already completed the corner, are 2 d4, taking central influence only as Black plays 3 c4 or 3 d3; 2 d5, 2 d6 and 2 c7, taking some territorial potential in this direction, but leaving Black able to consolidate the corner and extend on the s side with 3 d3, 3 d4, 3 c3 or 3 c4, according to the strategic circumstances.

OTHERS

A number of other plays have come into favour with some of the younger professionals, and some of the stronger amateurs, mostly devoted to central influence or side influence and placing little or no emphasis on the corner. They are much more difficult to handle than other corner moves because the whole strategic emphasis of the game changes, and hence they should not be used unless well understood. Among these are 1 c6, 1 d6, 1 e5 and 1 e6.

WATCHE FOOT DEFENDED IN

FORMING TERRITORY

FROM THE EXPERIENCE of players first introduced to Go it appears that the most difficult idea to understand is the general idea of "territory".

A territory is an area claimed by one player when his stones surround it so that he can form, with proper play, two eyes and his opponent cannot. The <u>size</u> of the area, the <u>shape</u>, and the <u>strength</u> of the outside wall must all be considered in deciding if it is safe.

As far as shape is concerned, long narrow areas are better than square ones. A formation surrounding four points in a row is safe no matter who plays first, whereas one surrounding four points in a square

cannot form two eyes there in any case.

The strength of the outside wall must be weighed in forming territory. A formation of Black stones on the tenth lines, surrounding a quadrant is said to form a safe territory, for White cannot form two eyes if Black plays correctly. Try it and see.

Correct Order of Play

There are severally widely accepted principles on where and how to

form territory, and the first is:

It is easiest to form territory in the corner, harder along the sides, and hardest of all in the centre. This can be easily seen from the minimum number of stones needed to form two eyes; 6 in the corner, 3 along the sides and 10 in the centre.

Thus the basic principle of strategy is to play first in the corners - either closing a corner with two stones, or attacking an enemy play in the corner - then to build territory along the sides, and finally extending into the centre.

Play the Third and Fourth Lines

The methods of forming territory in the corners are mostly joseki studies, and the beginner should gain some knowledge of these before anything else. Here we shall consider the nethods of forming territory along the sides.

In extending along the sides from a corner position the questions to be asked are: (1) How far up from the edge? and (2) How long a jump

from the corner?

Primarily, you should play along the third line to form territory, but with some stones on the fourth to ensure that you can prevent your opponent from grabbing all the centre. This is the second basic principle

of forming territory.

Before 1930 classical play emphasised the third line heavily. But in the 1930's a new opening strategy was proposed (Shin Fuseki). These two are contrasted in the following opening: 1 ql6, 2 dl7, 3 q4, 4 e3, 5 ql0, 6 dl5, 7 kl6, 8 c4, 9 k4, 10 cl0 with Black playing the extreme form of the new strategy. These fourth line plays are designed for central influence more than immediate territorial gain.

The White plays are an extreme form of the classical style. Such a heavy accent on the third or fourth lines alone is rarely seen now, and the modern style is rather a mixture of the two, taking the best points

of each and forming a "balanced" style.

This search for balance is well illustrated by the following example: Black at d4-d6-e3-o4-q4, White at f3-f4-r6; Black plays k3, low relative to o4. If the stones o4 were at n3, the best play would be j3, balanced with the low play at n3.

In the opening above you may have noticed that Black played one stone in each area, and on the very points that handicap stones are pla-

ced, but it takes considerable skill to follow this up profitably.

Again referring to the opening above and bearing in mind that no one additional move can secure the handicap corner, good plays are: r4, closing the corner; r7, taking side territory; and r10, aiming in either direction. This last play is very strong and should be used more often in handicap games.

How Far to Extend

In forming territory, the biggest question to answer is, how far to extend from the corner groups? The widest extension usually used is 6 points, from e3 to m3 for example. The safe extension from a single stone on the third line is two points, forming a connection that cannot be bro-

The following example illustrates the use of extensions: 1 r16, 2 d17, 3 p17, 4 q3, 5 c4, 6 c15, 7 e4, 8 r6, 9 k3, 10 k17, 11 c10, 12 n3, 13 rll, 14 nl7. Moves 10 and 11 are large exetnsions, 12 and 14 are safe extensions.

What is the thought behind 10 and 11? The opponent can invade directly in the gaps left behind. But note that if White plays c7, Black can safely extend to cl3, leaving White squeezed between two strong black groups. White has made a similar move with 14, threatening black's corner as well. One reason for Black playing rll, and not rlO, is that he would not have this optional extension.

The 5 point extension, 10 and 11, can be consolidated with a further play at gl7 or c7, but it is more likely that d3, or more commonly, e10 will be played. This latter play threatens to form a "box" formation with k5 which is very strong and is almost irreducible.

However the beginner is usually more interested in knowing how far he can sakely extend without fear of being disconnected, and in knowing how he can capture the invading stone if he has to.

The Principle of the Safe Extension

In most circumstances one can extend, on the third line, two points from a single stone, three from two stones, four from a three stone formation etc. For some common examples see No.1 P.4 and P.13 joseki 10.

Defending One's Own

It is all very well to say that a cetain extension is normal and safe - but what to do when your opponent disregards this and plunges in? A beginner often learns how to make the right move, but not how to defend it; he becomes discouraged and retreats to timid extensions, which are even more certain paths to defeat. Naturally, there is no simple enswer to this, but the following may suggest an approach.

Consider the situation with Black stones at e3-e4-e5; Black makes

the extension to k3, whereupon White invades at h3. What should Black do?

First Black must decide whether to capture the white stone or will he force White out into the centre, making a strong wall to the right. In many cases, the latter is more profitable, but if there are white stones to the right Black must capture as Black cannot expand profitably to the right. Should then Black attack with h4, j4 or j5?

The one play he should not make is h4. 1 h4, 2 g4, 3 g3, 4 j4, 5 j3 indicates the kind of complications that White can device. Instead, Black should play 1 j4, giving White little choice beyond the sequence 2 h4, 3 h5, 4 g5, 5 g6, 6 h6, 7 j5, 8 f6, 9 g4. g6 is the key play and once you have learned this type of capture it seems simplicity itself, but many a beginner plays timidly at h6 instead, and White is out. g4 captures White, with no opportunities for ko or anything else.

It is good for Black to study such possibilities by himself, so that he is prepared to defend his territorial claims. But in any case, if he knews that his extension is right, he should play with a certain agressive confidence against any unorthodox white plays.

The same thing applies to larger extensions, the similar sequence for the next larger extension from e3-e4-e5-e6 to 13, invaded at j3 is: 1 k3, 2 j4, 3 j5, 4 h5, 5 h6, 6 j6, 7 k5, 8 g6, 9 h7, 10 g7, 11 g5. After this last play White can only push in one point to f5, and he has also stranded three stones with no base in a powerful black formation.

⁷ wins and 1 loss. Second in this Challenger's Tournament was Takagawa (51) with 6 wins. Sakata beat Takagawa in a jigo (a tied game) as for the Meijin Title White wins in the case of a jigo, with a komi of 5 points.

EUROPEAN CHAMPIONSHIP Game No.1 13.3.1967 Round 3 Black: Ernst SKROB (Austria) Black gives 5 komi White: John DIAMOND (Gt. Britain) Comments by Mr. Nagahara are followed by (N). All other comments are by the Editor and hence not so authoratative. This was played with the purpose of 2 g3 obtaining a wall to the s side, and 3 417 4 rl7 then playing at f3. This josaki can 5 e3 This is the commonest fuseki at the only be played by W if the ladder formed after 52 by 53 o3, 54 o2, 55 present time, and .. usually plays r6, 56 p5, 57 n4, 58 n3, 59 o4, 60 o5 one of cl5, cl4, dl5 and dl4. 6 dl5 works for W. 50 q2 49 r3 If 5 were at e4 instead, c15 would not be good because of $\dot{7}$ cll. 52 p2 51 s3 54 o3 53 o4 7 cl3 8 cl7 55 r6 10 dl6 9 cl6 p\$ would be wrong as then 56 n4, 57 12 cl4 11 cl5 p6, 53 o5, 59 p5, 60 p7, 61 o6, 62 r6 14 bl4 13 dl4 captures the corner. 16 cl3 15 bl7 56 p4x 17 bl5 18 bl3 57 p5 58 o 5 Good (N) This is a joseki, as is 18 el7 but then 19 bl3, 20 el4, 21 59 p If p3x, then p6. dl3 and B has a large potential. 60 n4x 19 017 20 al5 22 fl6 61 q7x21 bl6 This is very important otherwise W 24 cl2 23 bl8 can save his stone and build a wall by 25 dl3 62 q7, 63 p7, 64 r7, 65 s6, 66 p3, 67 Better than el6 (N) because then 26 o7, 68 o3, 69 n7, 70 s7, 71 s5, 72 q9. e15, 27 fl5, 23 e14, 29 fl4, 30 ol3, 31 gl6 and although B is bet-62 f3 63 04 ter in this corner, W stands bet-Wrong point, ql2 is best (N) This ter over the whole board. threatens a further play at r9, and 26 dl2 also follows the proverb: Don't play 27 fl4 close to your opponent's strength. Incorrect. Best is 27 fl3, 28 el6, 29 el2, 30 fl7, 31 dl8, 32 el8, 33 66 q17 65 gl6 clax, 34 dlo, 35 ell, 36 c9 and now The decision on which side to play is fl3 has become an ideal play. (N) very difficult. 68 rl6 67 pl6 23 e16 70 r15 69 gl4 29 fl2 30 f17 32 ol8 31 dl8

71 pl4

Mot joseki, correct is ol3. (M)

72 ol7

Although following joseki, as W is strong on the n side it had better be played at r13 to reinforce r11. (%)

73 rl4 74 pll

75 jll

kll is better form. (N)

76 nl6

W was worried about the possibility of B playing at n17.

77 nl4 78 07

Very good. (N) For if 79 o3, then 30 n6, Sl n7, 32 p7, 33 q3, 34 n3, 35 m7, 36 q8, 37 r9, 38 s6, 39 r7, 90 q9 and 91 s5 is forced. Thus B is cut off between two strong W groups.

> 80 qll 79 gl0

31 r10

Poor. o9 would have been very strong. (N) Separating the W stones.

82 pl0

33 sl0

25

33 cl9x

is much better. (N)

35 **f**13

39 jl3

41 kl4

43 c5

45 q4

of the stones at c4-e3.

47 p34

only fortifies the n side.

is lost. (Y)

37 c7

Bad. This makes B into good shape

and leaves 27 a good play. 34 d9

No good. gll is much better. (H)

Good (W) If B had played here he

k16, making full use of the wall

Bad, simply 113. (N) The text play

B chooses this variation because

would have been secure easily.

34 el2

36 fll

38 gll

40 j16

42 116

44 r5

46 r4

43 q6

B was afraid of W playing r3 nowt.	
84 ml4 Enables 38 to be played with sente.	135 r2 136 r1 137 t2 133 s1
85 ml3 36 114	139 s5 140 gl
87 113 88 s12	A big mistake. fl8 is much botter as
Threatens a disconnection at nl3.	142 is not sente. (N)
89 nl5 90 ml6 91 dl0	141 fl 142 hl If 144 f2, 145 el and W must protect
Better elO, then if 92 ell, 93 clO	the corner with cl or c2, otherwise
reduces the group to only one eye (N) it will die.
92 cl0	143 f18 144 g18
Indirectly protecting the cutting point at ell, and strengthening the	145 el9x 146 h17 147 p17 148 p18
W stones.	149 ol6 150 ol8
93 c9 94 bl0	151 s15 152 s16
95 d9 96 c3 This threatens to take away B's cor-	153 m10 154 n11
ner or build up a large territory on	
the s side if B answers b3. (N)	159 r 9 160 19
97 e2	161 110 162 d2
Goo', this stops the formation of a Websiteritory on this side. (N)	₹ 163 el
98 b9	Otherwise f2 saves one stone. 164 h14
99 b8 100 al2	165 hl3
	Otherwise W will capture three stones
101 bl1, 102 all, 103 a9, 104 dll, 105 al4 does this and should have	by 166 gl2, 167 gl3, 168 hl3, 169 hl2, 170 el4.
boom played up till may lll. (N)	166 gl4
101 sl3 102 b4	167 g13 168 f15
103 c5	169 d3 170 a2
W can now live in the corner by play-	dl is impossible for then the cor-
ing at b2. But it isn't worth it yet.	c2, 173 a2, 174 a3, 175 a5, 176 alx.
105 ol2 106 o9	171 cl 172 c2
p7 would be better; for if B doesn't	
answer then 103 o6, 109 q6, 110 q8, 111 r3, 112 s6, 113 r7, 114 s4, 115	175 m9 176 t15 177 s14 178 m9
t4, 116 s5, 117 s7, 118 s2, 119 t6,	
120 r2, 121 t4x and W has gained the	n9, 130 o3, 181 o11.
corner with sente. (N)	179 q3
107 p7 Good. (N)	m8 is worth more.
108 n7	181 p9x 182 oll
109 j4	Otherwise 133 nl0, 184 ol0, 185 ol1.
Threatening the centre. 110 k2	183 p 12 134 k9 185 k10 136 a5
111 ell 112 dl1	185 kl0 186 a5 Worth 4 points with gote.
113 elO 114 j3	137 a6 138 a7
115 h4 116 k4	189 b6 190 a3
ll7 k5 j8 directly is botter. (N)	191 a9 192 a10x 193 n10 194 o10
118 15	195 j6 196 k7
	W cannot fight a ko fight.
121 j5 122 17	197 o6 198 n6
123 h3 124 j7 Bad. (N) Better h2, then 126 h7.	199 j15 200 h15 201 k15 202 m12
125 h7 126 k8	203 112 204 a14
127 j9 128 h2	A mistake, this costs at least two
129 h6	points.
B cannot play at g2 because then 130 g3, 131 f2, 132 h6, 133 j6, 134 g4	205 kl6 206 kl7 207 jl3 WHITE RESIGNS
and a large ke fight ensues.	•
130 b2	W must give up two stones by 203 h18, 209 j17, 210 h17, 211 117, 212
Good. (N) Now W lives in the corner. This is worth 27 points.	kl8, 213 ml5 and lose by 11 points.
131 b5 132 a4	If 208 kl8, 209 gl9, 210 hl9, 211
133 g2 134 s2	hl8 and V has not enough ko threats.

EUROPEAN CNAMPICHSHIP Game No.2	
Black: Zoran MUTABZIJA (Yugoslavia) White: Max REBATTU (Holland)	Round 5 16.8.1967 Black gives 5 komi.
1 rl6 2 dl7	Bad, qi makes the B stones worse
3 dl5	off and safeguards the corner.
This type of immediate attack has	45 q4 46 r3
come into fashion in the last year.	47 o2 48 qlx
4 cl5	49 13
5 cl4 6 cl6	Unnecessarily strengthens W. m4
7 c4	is better, treating the 3 stones
This threatens, with the completion	as a sacrifice of need be.
of the joseki in the nw corner, to	50 k4
form a large potential.	51 k2 52 j2
8 q3	53 14 54 q16
9 dl4 10 f17	Reducing the territory with sente.
11 cl0	55 q17 56 p13
This is a very common joseki.	57 o16 58 q15
12 e4	59 o17 60 p14
e3 would not be as good, as then d5	61 015 62 03
would fully utilize cl0 and W would	63 n3 64 o4
have too low a position over the	65 m2 66 d/2
whole board.	Waiting to see how B will reply.
13 e3 14 f3	67 c5 63 c 3
15 d3 16 f4	69 c2 70 h17
17 d5	Typical of Rebattu, always making
As clC is already there, this is	seemingly small territorial plays.
better balanced than 17 c6.	71 o5 72 p4x
18 k3	73 k5 74 kl
19 pl7 20 r5	75 12 76 b3
21 rl0 22 f7	This enables W to get in 78 f2 with
Although a large play, it is better	~
around kl6; for even if B gets to	77 b2 78 f2
play f6 W can play e10 to reduce	79 d2 80 h4
this potential.	Poor. j5 would be much better as
this potential. 23 kl6 24 sl6	B cannot cut at j3, owing to the
W must enter this potential somehow	
and this is one of the commonly	81 p5 82 c5
and this is one of the commonly accepted ways of doing it. There	81 p5
accepted ways or doing to another this	
are numerous ways of answering this all too involved and difficult to	spects.
	spects. 84 h5
go into here. 25 rl7 26 rl2	85 j17
- ·	Stopping W infiltrating into the
27 pl0 28 rl5	ne with 36 klS, and threatening a
29 sl4	further play at h16 or h18.
31 s13 32 s15	86 c8
53 rl3	Destroying the B territory and th-
Unnecessary.	reatening the 4 stones in the nw.
34 q13	reatening the 4 stones in the hw. 87 el0 88 bl0
35 sl2 36 sl7	
37 pl5	This strengthens the W stone, but
B could continue attacking with sl8	possibly better to play simply at
but W can easily escape by 38 ols.	e8 and wait for an opportunity to
3 rl3	invade at bl2, cl2 or dl2.
39 q18 40 r19	39 bll 90 c9
W is now alive in the corner for	91 d10
if 41 t13 (best), 42 t17, 43 t15,	b9 would not be good as then 92
44 t19, 45 sl9x, 46 sl3 and B	d10, 93 cll, 94 e9, 95 dll and W
cannot fill at t19.	connects with sente.
41 q2 42 r2	92 e3
The best reply, as there are 3	93 h13
stones at plO and clC. r3 would	Very large. Otherwise W can play
only be possible if there was a W	94 j13, 95 k13, 96 j16, 97 k17,
stone there.	and leave B with little territory.
Δ3 n3	It also threatens 95 el6, 96 el7,

44 p2

43 p3

It also threatens 95 el6, 96 el7,

97 hl6 taking a large central area.	C+manan tion 30 - it time
94 g17	Stronger than d3 as it threatens more against the upper group.
If 94 hl6 then 95 el6, 96 el7, 97	157 g10 158 k11
f16, 98 g16, 99 g18, 100 g17, 101	Threatening mll and again providing
gl5 shutting in W.	a connection with fl4-gl4.
95 pl2 96 ol3	159 ml0 160 a6
The one stone is not worth saving as	
if 96 q12, 97 ol4, walling in the W	
group. But better 96 pl3, 97 ql2, 98	
nl3 as 97 is still sente.	161 j10 162 e6
97 q12 96 o14	161 J16 162 66 163 d6 164 kl0
99 p7 100 j7	165 h9 166 j6
Setter first 100 n4, 101 n5, 102 m4,	167 k6
103 m5 about 5 points more.	Three points with gote.
101 n4 102 r8	168 fll
103 k7 104 j8	169 fl0 170 el2
k8 is impossible because of the cut	
at j8.	173 e7 174 f8
105 ml2	If fô, then 177 f8 loses 7 stones.
Walling off some central territory.	175 f6x 176 g6
106 ml4	Otherwise 177 g7, 178 g8, 179 h8 kc.
107 k8 108 m16	177 g12 173 f12
109 ml7 110 117	179 j12
116 is better, as it is wirth two	Threatens gl5 capturing 5 stones.
points with sente.	180 h15
111 116 112 ml5	181 al4 182 al5
113 m18 114 m13	133 ol2 184 sl0
115 112 116 n12	185 sl1 186 jl6
117 nl1 118 bl2	Threatens j18 and k15.
Threatening bl4 and cl1.	197 j15 188 h16
119 c 12	189 kl8 - 19 0 q6 -
The only play to stop both of these.	191 q7 192 h3
120 all	B should have played g3 with sente
121 cll 122 s9	before this move.
Better q7, forcing p3 first.	193 b14 194 c19
123 q3 — 124 j9 .	Otherwise al6 saves his stones.
Very large. If B had got to play	195 r6 196 s6
here his territorial prospects would	197 jl4 198 ol
have been greatly increased.	199 gl3 200 el4
125 bl3	201 nl3x 202 nl4
This threatens bl5 next with sente,	203 n2 204 b6
and is thus stronger than b9.	205 b4 206 e15
126 k9	207 el6 208 fl6
127 19 128 110	209 e17 210 e13
133 m9 130 015	211 ol 212 fl
127 19 128 110 129 m9 13C b15 131 r7 132 s7 133 r9 134 s3	213 j4 214 j3
103 FB 134 SB	215 hl4 216 g9
645	217 h10 218 t14
Poor. p3x would have made it a dec-	219 t13 220 t15
isive victory, as now 137 dl6 can be safely answered with 139 fl8.	221 11
136 r/v	225 66 226
137 d16 138 f18 139 c17 140 b17 141 c18 142 d18 143 b18 144 a18	225 o6 226 nl6 227 nl7 228 gl9
139 c17 140 h17	227 nl7 228 gl9 229 hl9 230 fl9
341 cl8 342 dl8	229 h19 230 f19 231 k13 232 e2
143 b18 144 s18	231 k13 232 62 233 dl 234 115
145 q19 146 t18	235 kl5 236 tl0
147 b9 148 b8	237 c7 238 b7
149 alCx 150 a5	239 nl 240 hll
This is the largest play, but it is	
not sente because of 155. Nor would	243 dl2 244 ell
150 a6 be for the same reason.	243 dl2 244 ell 245 ll1 246 dl3
150 a6 be for the same reason. 151 a4 152 f14	247 a8 243 pl
Destroying all B possibilities here.	249 nl2 250 f6
153 fl5 154 gl4	251 bl0 252 f6
W can connect with either group.	
155 d7 156 e9	BLACK WINS by 7 points.